Cost-to-Charge Ratio Files:
User Guide for National Inpatient Sample (NIS) CCRs

The Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) Cost-to-Charge Ratio Files (CCR Files) are hospital-level files that facilitate the conversion of total charges into hospital costs (expenses) for providing care, which can be linked to HCUP inpatient databases and HCUP emergency department databases. This user guide describes the 2001-2018 CCR for National (Nationwide) Inpatient Sample (CCR-NIS) Files. Documentation for the CCR for Emergency Department (CCR-ED) Files and for other CCR for Inpatient Files is provided separately.

1. Overview of Methodology

   The CCR Files are constructed from appropriate cost centers in the hospital cost reports obtained from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) Healthcare Cost Report Information System (HCRIS). The HCUP CCR Files are annual datasets that provide hospital-specific cost-to-charge ratios based on all-payer inpatient costs for nearly every hospital in each year's NIS.

   The CCR for Inpatient Files are used to estimate the resource cost of inpatient care and its variation across hospitals and conditions. The files are designed to supplement the data elements in the HCUP inpatient databases which contain data on total charges for each hospital stay. *Charges* represent the amount a hospital billed for the case; c*osts* reflect the actual expenses incurred in the production of hospital services, such as wages, supplies, and utility costs. The charges (costs) do not reflect the specific amounts that hospitals receive in payment.

   HCUP utilizes information from the annual CMS fiscal year files, with file names like "hosp10_2018_NMRC.csv," also referred to as Prospective Payment System (PPS) records, for hospital data submitted through March 31st, approximately 18 months after the close of a fiscal year.

2. Description of CCR for NIS Files

   The HCUP CCR files provide an estimate of all-payer inpatient cost-to-charge ratios for hospitals in the 2001-2018 NIS. The files are provided as CSV (comma-separated value) text files. Records are included for all community hospitals from the HCUP NIS that have records in both the American Hospital Association (AHA) Annual Survey Database and the CMS hospital cost file for the corresponding fiscal year.

   The CCR for NIS records can be merged with the discharge records in the NIS using the HCUP hospital identification number, HOSPID (through 2011), or NIS hospital number, HOSP_NIS (for 2012 and later years). HOSP_NIS is reassigned each year and does not link to other HCUP databases or to external databases, or track hospitals over years.

   Separate CCR for NIS files are released for each data year and should be used with the corresponding year of the NIS to ensure appropriate match of the year-specific hospital identifiers.

The cost of inpatient care for a discharge is estimated by multiplying TOTCHG (from the discharge record) by the cost/charge ratio. For data through 2011, users can multiply the charges by either the hospital-specific all-payer inpatient cost/charge ratio (APICC) or the group average all-payer inpatient cost/charge ratio (GAPICC). For data beginning with 2012, a single cost/charge ratio is provided – named CCR_NIS. The CCR_NIS values are based on the hospital-specific all-payer inpatient cost/charge ratio (APICC) when available, or the group average all-payer inpatient cost/charge ratio (GAPICC) otherwise. The APICC and GAPICC data elements are not provided for these years.

Beginning with the 2012 data, the CCR for NIS files were revised to reflect the redesign of the National Inpatient Sample. The CCR variables were renamed to indicate they are designed to be used exclusively with the 2012 and later NIS. Although the cost-to-charge ratio has been modified to enhance confidentiality of the NIS the statistical reliability of the estimates is not affected.

3. Records in the CCR-NIS Files

    For 2012-2018, the datasets contain a record for each hospital (unduplicated HOSP_NIS) in the NIS:

    - 4,550 hospitals in the 2018 CCR-NIS
    - 4,584 hospitals in the 2017 CCR-NIS
    - 4,575 hospitals in the 2016 CCR-NIS
    - 4,573 hospitals in the 2015 CCR-NIS
    - 4,411 hospitals in the 2014 CCR-NIS
    - 4,363 hospitals in the 2013 CCR-NIS
    - 4,378 hospitals in the 2012 CCR-NIS.

    For 2001-2011, the datasets contain a record for each hospital (unduplicated HOSPIDs) in the NIS *for states that permitted release* of their cost-to-charge ratios:[1]

    - 1,008 of 1,049 hospitals in the 2011 CCR-NIS
    - 1,010 of 1,051 hospitals in the 2010 CCR-NIS
    - 1,013 of 1,050 hospitals in the 2009 CCR-NIS
    - 1,017 of 1,056 hospitals in the 2008 CCR-NIS
    - 1,044 of 1,044 hospitals in the 2007 CCR-NIS
    - 1,045 of 1,045 hospitals in the 2006 CCR-NIS
    - 942 of 1,054 hospitals in the 2005 CCR-NIS
    - 908 of 1,004 hospitals in the 2004 CCR-NIS
    - 855 of 994 hospitals in the 2003 CCR-NIS
    - 855 of 995 hospitals in the 2002 CCR-NIS
    - 851 of 986 hospitals in the 2001 CCR-NIS.

    All HCUP hospitals in the CCR for NIS files are in the American Hospital Association (AHA) Annual Survey.

---

[1] Through 2011, one or more states did not provide permission to include their hospital-specific cost ratios in the CCR-NIS files. Refer to Appendix A for information about the omitted states. Beginning with 2012, all states in the NIS are included in the CCR for NIS files.

4. Cost/Charge Ratios for Linkage to the NIS

The 2001-2011 files provide a hospital-specific all-payer inpatient cost-to-charge ratio, APICC, where permitted by HCUP Partner organizations. For all hospitals, there is also a weighted group average, GAPICC. Analysts can use APICC, when available, and otherwise use the weighted group average, GAPICC.

For 2012 and later files, the cost-to-charge ratio element was renamed as CCR_NIS and is populated for all hospitals and states. CCR_NIS can be used for all hospitals.

Table 1. Records with Non-Missing APICC

Year	Records with APICC	Percent	Records with GAPICC only
2011	889	88%	119
2010	920	91%	90
2009	906	89%	107
2008	888	87%	129
2007	818	78%	226
2006	807	77%	238
2005	657	70%	285
2004	669	74%	239
2003	641	75%	214
2002	571	67%	284
2001	623	73%	228

Note: The HCUP hospital identifier, HOSP_NIS or HOSPID, on the CCR CSV (comma-separated value) text file is enclosed in quotation marks in order to preserve leading zeros in Excel. As a result, some software applications may interpret HOSP_NIS (HOSPID) as a character variable, which in turn would not match the numeric version of HOSP_NIS (HOSPID) on the NIS. This data element should be loaded as numeric or converted to numeric prior to merging with the NIS.

5. NIS-Specific Cost-to-Charge Ratio—CCR_NIS

The cost-to-charge ratio element CCR_NIS, provided for the 2012 and later NIS, is populated with the all-payer inpatient cost-to-charge ratio (APICC), when available. The hospital group average CCR (GAPICC) is used to populate CCR_NIS when the APICC is not available. The construction of the all-payer inpatient and group average CCR are described in the next sections.

6. Hospital-Specific Cost/Charge Ratio—APICC

The all-payer inpatient cost-to-charge ratio (APICC), provided for the 2011 and earlier files, is created by dividing the inpatient costs by the inpatient charges. *Beginning with 2012, APICC is used to assign CCR_NIS and is not provided in the CCR-NIS files.*

Both of these values are found on the CMS Healthcare Cost Reporting Information System (HCRIS) reports, or PPS data. APICC is populated for HCUP NIS hospitals that have a matching record in both the PPS and the AHA data. APICC is missing when there is no cost information in the PPS data or the calculated cost/charge values are

considered outliers. Several adjustments are made to costs and charges before they are usable in this generalized formula, the most important being the assignment of a portion of ancillary costs to inpatient routine and acute cost centers.

7. Weighted Group Average—GAPICC

The group average cost-to-charge ratio (GAPICC), provided for the 2011 and earlier files, is a weighted average for the hospitals in peer groups (defined by state, urban/rural, investor-owned/other, and bedsize), using the proportion of each hospital's beds relative to their peer group as the weight for each hospital. *Beginning with 2012, GAPICC is used to assign CCR_NIS and is not provided in the CCR-NIS files.*

These averages are based on clean observations, meaning the HCUP hospitals that also have records in both the AHA Annual Survey and CMS cost data as of the March 31st date when the CMS files are acquired. These records have a matching hospital in the CMS cost report, have availability of certain completed data items in the report, and pass certain edit checks.

Note that it is possible for group averages to be based on only one hospital in the peer group (defined by state and hospital type). The group average may be associated with a non-HCUP hospital.

8. Hospital Type for Grouping—HTYPE

The hospital type (HTYPE) is utilized for grouping peer hospitals. Although HTYPE is not provided on the CCR for NIS files, it is helpful to know how this variable is defined to create peer groups using all hospitals within each state. Some researchers will find the information below useful with respect to replicability, and reviewers for journal articles might find this more detailed description especially valuable.

The following are values for the HTYPE variable:

    1= investor-owned, under 100 beds
    2= investor-owned, 100 or more beds
    3= not-for-profit, rural, under 100 beds
    4= not-for-profit, rural, 100 or more beds
    5= not-for-profit, urban, under 100 beds
    6= not-for-profit, urban, 100-299 beds
    7= not-for-profit, urban, 300 or more beds

State and local hospitals are included in the *not-for-profit categories*. *Urban* is defined as being part of a Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA); *beds* are the total hospital beds set up (as defined in each year's AHA Annual Survey Database). *Teaching status*, which is customarily used for grouping HCUP hospitals was not assigned as a category for HTYPE; this indicator was not present on the CMS hospital cost reports and so a proxy measure of the ratio of interns and residents per bed was used. In regression analyses, the hospital group average cost ratios for large hospitals and teaching versus non-teaching hospitals were not significantly different and so only the hospital bed size was used for defining HTYPE.

9. Area Wage Index—WI_X / WAGEINDEX

The Area Wage Index is an index computed by CMS to measure the relative hospital wage level in a geographic area compared to the national average hospital wage level. It is provided on the file to allow researchers to analyze cost differences geographically or to control for price factors beyond the hospital's control. Hospital cost variation has a 0.8 elasticity with the area wage index in some AHRQ published studies, meaning that variation in the hospital cost is roughly proportional to the variation in overall hospital costs. Multivariate studies should not assume strict proportionality.

The index is computed for each urban Core-Based Statistical Area (CBSA) and then linked with the AHA data before it is added to the file. If the AHA-reported CBSA does not match the CMS hospital area, then the Area Health Resources Files (AHRF) and other hospitals in the same county are used to find a matching CBSA. All rural areas in each state are combined for a single wage index. This information is available for download from CMS. For the HCUP NIS hospitals in each year, all hospitals were matched to an area wage index using CMS files, the AHA survey, and the Area Resource File in cases where the AHA survey was incomplete.

Through 2011, this data element is called WI_X. Beginning in 2012, the wage index in the CCR file has been modified to enhance confidentiality of the NIS and renamed as WAGEINDEX. Statistical reliability of the estimates is not affected.

10. Geographic Adjustment Factor—GAF

The Capital cost adjustment index for Core Based Statistical Areas is included on the file in earlier years. It is used in calculating the Medicare reimbursement payments for capital costs. This data element may prove useful in regression calculations. However, analysts should note that for a number of states contributing hospital data in the NIS, permission was not provided to release values of GAF.

11. State Code—Z013

The State Code (AHA element Z013), provided in earlier years, is the two-character state postal code (e.g., "AZ" for Arizona) for hospitals included in the CCR for NIS file.

12. Internal Validation Studies

A regression analysis of the all-payer inpatient CCR was performed in earlier years. This analysis used all clean HCUP and non-HCUP records with both AHA and CMS data. This was a weighted OLS regression using acute medical-surgical beds as the weighting variable, with separate state constant terms. Factors leading to significant differences in the CCR were: investor-ownership, rural location, large size (more than 300 beds), and a high ratio of interns and residents per bed (top 5%). Several of the state constant terms were also significant. The results tended to validate the "peer-grouping" method used here to create weighted group averages for each HCUP record.

In 2001 a study was performed for two states where different methods of calculating cost by DRG were compared. Hospital-wide CCRs as provided here, although not as accurate as department-based CCRs, are more accurate than gross charges in estimating relative cost by DRG. In more recent years, studies involving a dozen states

that report their detailed charges have been done. These studies produced more accurate CCRs because they use departmental CCRs as opposed to hospital-wide CCRs. Users interested in quantifying potential biases due to use of the hospital-wide CCRs should contact HCUP user support (hcup@ahrq.gov).

13. Tools for More Accurate Cost Estimates

HCUP periodically evaluates the differences in cost estimates by hospital and by cost centers (departments) and individual services. There are two sets of cost adjustment factors available, for data years 2006 and 2009. The adjustment factors are contained in the appendices of the following methods reports available at https://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/reports/methods/methods.jsp.

In general, department-specific CCRs are more accurate for deriving the cost of a hospital stay than hospital-wide CCRs. However, not all of the HCUP Partner organizations request that hospitals report detailed charges for every discharge, and not all hospitals have usable CMS accounting reports.

An initial report, conducted with 2006 data, provides adjustment factors by Clinical Classifications Software (CCS) categories and All-Patient Refined Diagnosis Related Groups (APR-DRG). The adjustment factors allow an analyst to correct cost estimates based on hospital-wide CCRs. Such adjustments will increase the estimated costs for patients in some APR-DRG and CCS categories and reduce the estimated costs for patients in other APR-DRG and CCS categories. For more information about the approach, please see HCUP Methods Series Report # 2008-04. Song, X, Friedman, B. *Calculate Cost Adjustment Factors by APR-DRG and CCS Using Selected States with Detailed Charges.* Online October 8, 2008. U.S. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.

An updated report, conducted in 2012, used a more extensive methodology to develop correction factors for 2009 data for each Medicare-Diagnosis Related Group (MS-DRG) and each CCS category. This addresses an issue with the hospital-wide CCR in that it does not account for variations among service departments in the hospital. This year's report created 13 cost-center clusters that take into account the higher markup (the inverse of CCR) for ancillary services as a whole than for routine bed-unit services. The cost-center specific and hospital-wide CCRs were applied to SID discharges for each MS-DRG or CCS category. These adjustment factors allow an analyst to correct cost estimates based on hospital-wide CCRs for the patient's MS-DRG or CCS category to get a more accurate CCR and, hence, a more accurate cost estimate.

For more information about the approach, please see HCUP Methods Series Report # 2011-04. Sun Y, Friedman B. *Tools for More Accurate Inpatient Cost Estimates with HCUP Databases, 2009.* Errata added October 25, 2012. 2012. ONLINE October 29, 2012. U.S. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.

14. Variable Lists

The following tables summarize the variables (and their respective labels) included in the Cost-to-Charge files for the NIS.

Table 2. Data Elements on CCR for NIS: 2012-2018

Data Element	Description
HOSP_NIS	NIS hospital number
CCR_NIS	All-payer inpatient or group average CCR
WAGEINDEX	Wage Index, source CMS, edited
YEAR	Year for linking to HCUP records

Table 3. Data Elements on CCR for NIS: 2001-2011

Data Element	Description
HOSPID	HCUP hospital identification number
APICC	All-payer inpatient CCR, hosp-specific
GAF	Capital cost adjustment index for Core Based Statistical Areas
GAPICC	Group avg. all-payer inpatient CCR
WI_X	Wage Index, source CMS, edited
YEAR	Year for linking to HCUP records
Z013	State postal code

Appendix A: Special Notes for Year-Specific Files

Revised 2010 CCR-NIS File

Please be aware that AHRQ released a revised version of the 2010 CCR for NIS file in August 2013. At the time the initial files were created, CMS had recently revised its standard accounting forms for hospitals which apparently affected the timeliness of reporting for data year 2010. As of June 30, 2012, the CMS files used for the initial version of the CCRs contained usable 2010 accounting reports for only 61.5% of HCUP hospitals. For hospitals with no usable report, the CCR was imputed from a weighted average for a peer group within the state (the variable name is GAPICC). Several HCUP states had a particularly high proportion of hospitals with missing reports in 2010, which results in a smaller number of hospitals used for imputation. Hospitals with missing accounting reports in the initial files can be identified by the amount of missing data for APICC.

In the Spring of 2013, AHRQ obtained an updated file of 2010 accounting reports from CMS. As of May 2013, the CMS files used for the revised 2010 CCR files contained usable 2010 accounting reports for 89% of HCUP hospitals. For hospitals that were missing accounting reports in the initial files, the APICC was calculated from the updated reports, where permitted by HCUP Partner organizations. GAPICC was recalculated using the updated weighted average for a peer group within the state. The values of GAPICC in the revised CCR files may differ from the initial version as a larger number of hospitals were used for imputation.

Adjustments for 2004 Cost Estimates for the Northeast

Calculations of costs for the 2004 NIS in the Northeast (HOSP_REGION=1) will tend to be slightly overestimated due to the absence this year of one large state, Pennsylvania. An example of this is found in a comparison of the HCUPnet tables of average cost by region for 2004 versus 2003.

To obtain a more accurate cost-to-charge ratio for the Northeast region, users may want to multiply the total cost of care in each stratum (NIS_STRATUM) shown below, where the first digit ('1') represents the Northeast, by the following adjustment factors:

Table 4. Adjustment Factors by NIS_Stratum

NIS_STRATUM	Adjustment Factor
1011	1.057
1012	1.071
1013	1.105
1021	1.078
1022	1.099
1023	1.003
1031	.845
1032	.863
1033	.777

For national studies where users are comparing 2004 versus 2003 national estimates and are including the Northeast, a downward adjustment can be made to *all* CCRs by 3% to correspond with other national findings.

Changes in 2005 Cost Estimates from Previous Years

The cost/charge ratios in the 2005 CCR for NIS file, when applied to the 2005 Nationwide Inpatient Sample, result in somewhat higher mean cost than anticipated. This atypical increase in the national CCR can be associated with a change in the hospital universe for the NIS. This phenomenon can happen in any year, but in 2005 it had the effect of increasing the national CCR.

The now-archived annual Facts and Figures Report at http://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/reports/factsandfigures/HAR_2005.pdf was prepared before the CMS 2005 data were assembled. It is based on preliminary assumptions that
give a lower overall CCR than we find in the more recent data. As a result, the mean cost for 2005 now appears to be $8079 rather than the $7900 estimated in the annual report, a difference of 2%.

Adjustments for National Cost Estimates in Specific Years

To obtain national cost estimates for a set of cases, for analyses through 2011, it is recommended that users re-weight all discharges to account for cases where cost estimates are missing. The original discharge weight (DISCWT) should be multiplied by the following: Total weight of original cases divided by total weights, after excluding cases with missing cost. By performing these calculations, the weights for remaining cases are increased.

For several years, the national cost estimates may be affected in particular by missing states or states that provide only group average cost/charge ratios.

2008-2011 CCR-NIS File: One state was dropped from the file (PA). One state, ME, only includes group average.

2007 CCR-NIS File: No states were dropped from the file. One state, ME, only includes group average.

2006 CCR-NIS File: No states were dropped from the file. No states include only the group average.

2005 CCR-NIS File: One state was dropped from the file (TX). Three states—NE, OK, and OR—only include the group average.

2004 CCR-NIS File: One state was dropped from the file (TX). Two states, NE and OR, only include the group average.

2003 CCR-NIS File: Two states were dropped from the file (PA and TX). One state, NE, only includes group average.

2002 CCR-NIS File: Three states were dropped from the file (HI, PA, and TX). Two states, NE and OR, only include group average.

2001 CCR-NIS File: Three states were dropped from the file (HI, PA, and TX). Two states, NE and OR, only include the group average.

References

Friedman B, De La Mare J, Andrews R, McKenzie DH. Practical options for estimating cost of hospital inpatient stays. *J Health Care Finance.* 2002 Fall;29(1):1-13.

Song, X, Friedman, B. Calculate Cost Adjustment Factors by APR-DRG and CCS Using Selected States with Detailed Charges. HCUP Methods Series Report # 2008-04. U.S. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Available: http://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/reports/methods.jsp.

Sun Y, Friedman B. Tools for More Accurate Inpatient Cost Estimates with HCUP Databases, 2009. [Errata added October 25, 2012.] HCUP Methods Series Report # 2011-04. Online October 29, 2012. U.S. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Available: http://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/reports/methods/methods.jsp.